

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,905	11/09/2001	Ricardo L. de Queiroz	D/98485D 7707		
759	01/28/2003				
Patent Documentation Center			EXAMINER		
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor 100 Clinton Ave. S.			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
Rochester, NY 14644			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2624	-	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant/a)					
		Application No.							
Office Action Summary		10/037,905		L. DE QUEIROZ, RICARDO					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Stephen M Brinich		2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 I	November 2001 .							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
· _	ion of Claims								
•	Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
_ <u></u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected.)⊡ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·		r election requirem	ent						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce _l	oted or b) objected	to by the Exar	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	-	. ,	00	•					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🗍 1		(PTO-413) Paper North					

Application/Control Number: 10/037,905 Page 2

· Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hintzman et al.

Re claim 25, Hintzman et al. discloses (column 5, lines 11-24) the detection of byte-boundary data (readable upon the not-further-specified "blocking artifacts", as they are artifacts introduced into the signal at the boundaries of byte blocks) and

Application/Control Number: 10/037,905 Page 3

· Art Unit: 2624

the production of an output indicative of a JPEG (a known compressed format) marker.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 09 November 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (Paper #2, page 2, lines 21-32 and page 3, lines 12-17) that Hintzman et al. fails to teach or suggest the recited feature of "...detecting blocking artifacts in the image indicative of compression...". Applicant contrasts (Paper #2, page 3, lines 1-11) the detection of byte boundary information (in Hintzman et al.) with the detection of blocking artifacts in the image indicative of compression (in the present claim).

However, it is not clear what recited feature of the "blocking artifacts in the image indicative of compression" distinguishes from the byte boundary information detected in Hintzman et al. The Hintzman et al. reference clearly describes (column 5, lines 20-24) these items of byte boundary information as "JPEG standard defined RESTART and END_OF_IMAGE (EOI) markers". Inasmuch as JPEG is known to one of ordinary skill in the art to be a compressed image format (e.g. the reference to "the conventional JPEG image compression standard" page 1, lines 10-11 of the present Specification), the detection at a block boundary (e.g. a byte boundary) of an artifact (e.g.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,905 Page 4

^ Art Unit: 2624

artificially introduced bits) specifically associated with this compressed image format would read upon a (not further specified) "...detecting blocking artifacts in the image indicative of compression..."

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

De Querioz (6385342) is cited for reference as the patent that has matured from the parent application 09/191245.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

January 26, 2003